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## Quantum-randomized polarization of laser pulses derived from zero-point motion of diamond: supplemental document

This supplementary material outlines the derivation of the Stokes field arising from the excitation of the $F_{2 g}$ Raman modes where the wave-vector and linear polarization of the pump field is oriented along the [110] and [110] cubic crystal axes respectively and presents the results of the NIST-800-90B test suite for statistical independence [1], applied to Stokes polarization measurements.

## 1. DERIVATION OF THE STOKES FIELD

The quantum mechanical equations of motion for stimulated Raman scattering (SRS) under the canonical quantization formalism, in the case of multiple excited modes generalize to [2],

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \hat{Q}_{n}^{\dagger}(z, \tau) & =-\Gamma \hat{Q}_{n}^{\dagger}(z, \tau)+\hat{F}_{n}^{\dagger}(z, \tau)+i \kappa_{n} \mathbf{E}_{p}^{*}(\tau) \hat{\mathbf{E}}_{S}(z, \tau)  \tag{S1a}\\
\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \hat{\mathbf{E}}_{S}(z, \tau) & =-i C \sum_{n} \kappa_{n}^{*} \mathbf{E}_{p}(\tau) \hat{Q}_{n}^{+}(z, \tau) \tag{S1b}
\end{align*}
$$

where the symbols are defined as follows;

- $\tau=t-z / v$ is the time coordinate in the pump-pulse reference frame, where $v$ is the velocity of the pump and Stokes fields. This implicitly assumes no material dispersion.
- $\hat{Q}_{n}^{+}$are the collective atomic operators for the $n$-th vibrational mode and $\hat{F}_{n}^{+}$are the corresponding Langevin operators that represent the zero-point motion of the crystal lattice [2, 3].
- $\hat{\mathbf{E}}_{S}$ is the (total) Stokes field operator.
- $\mathbf{E}_{p}=\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{p} E_{p}$ is the (classical) pump field that is assumed to be undepleted, thus exhibiting no $z$-dependence.
- $\kappa_{n}$ are the polarizabilities associated with $F_{2 g}$ Raman modes, and are represented as tensors in this formulation to account for polarization effects.
- $\Gamma$ is the phonon damping rate.
- $C=2 \pi N \hbar \omega_{S} v / c^{2}$, where $N$ is the atomic density of the crystal, $\omega_{s}$ is the Stokes frequency and $c$ is the speed of light in a vacuum.

The first step to solving Eq. S1 is to eliminate the partial derivative in $z$ by taking the spatial Laplace transform. Assuming no initial Stokes field in z, Eq. S1 becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \hat{q}_{n}^{\dagger}(s, \tau) & =-\Gamma \hat{q}_{n}^{\dagger}(s, \tau)+i \boldsymbol{\kappa}_{n} \mathbf{E}_{p}^{*}(\tau) \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{S}(s, \tau)+\hat{f}_{n}^{\dagger}(s, \tau)  \tag{S2a}\\
\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{S}(s, \tau) & =-i C \sum_{n} \frac{1}{s} \boldsymbol{\kappa}_{n}^{*} \mathbf{E}_{p}(\tau) \hat{q}_{n}^{\dagger}(s, \tau) \tag{S2b}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{S}, \hat{q}_{n}^{\dagger}$ and $\hat{f}_{n}^{\dagger}$ represent the Laplace transforms of $\hat{\mathbf{E}}_{S}, \hat{Q}_{n}^{\dagger}$ and $\hat{F}_{n}^{\dagger}$ respectively.
Substitution of Eq. S2b into Eq. S2a yields coupled ordinary differential equations (ODEs), rather than the single ODE as in [2]. In tensor form, this coupled ODE system is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger}(s, \tau)=(\mathbf{M}-\mathbf{I} \Gamma) \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger}(s, \tau)+\hat{\mathbf{f}}^{\dagger}(s, \tau) \tag{S3}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. S1. Diagram illustrating the propagation direction $\hat{\mathbf{k}}_{p}$, and polarization $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{p}$ with respect to the face-centered cubic axes of the diamond, and the rotated basis $\{x, y, z\}$. Positions of inplane carbon atoms (filled circles) are depicted for reference.
where $\hat{\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger}=\left\{\hat{q}_{1}^{\dagger}, \hat{q}_{2}^{\dagger}, \hat{q}_{3}^{\dagger}\right\}, \hat{\mathbf{f}}^{\dagger}=\left\{\hat{f}_{1}^{\dagger}, \hat{f}_{2}^{\dagger}, \hat{f}_{3}^{\dagger}\right\}, \mathbf{I}$ is the identity matrix and

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{i j}=\frac{1}{s} C\left(\mathbf{E}_{p}^{*} \kappa_{i}\right)\left(\mathbf{E}_{p}^{*} \kappa_{j}\right)^{\dagger}=\frac{1}{s} C \sum_{k} \sum_{l} \sum_{m} \kappa_{k l i} \kappa_{k m j}^{*} E_{p, l}^{*} E_{p, m}, \tag{S4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and where $\kappa_{i j k}=\kappa_{i j}$ for the $k$-th mode.
Eq. S3 has no analytic solution in the general case, however for certain high-symmetry crystal and pump configurations it reduces to a more tractable form. The polarizability tensors of the $F_{2 g}$ Raman modes with respect to the original coordinate basis of Eq. S1 are [4];

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0  \tag{S5}\\
0 & -d & 0 \\
0 & 0 & d
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \frac{d}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{-d}{\sqrt{2}} \\
\frac{d}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{-d}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \frac{-d}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{-d}{\sqrt{2}} \\
\frac{-d}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{-d}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

For a [110]-polarized pump propagating in the [110]-direction, the tensor $\mathbf{M}$ becomes

$$
\mathbf{M}=\frac{1}{s} C d^{2}\left|E_{p}(\tau)\right|^{2}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0  \tag{S6}\\
0 & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\
0 & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

indicating that the equation for $\hat{q}_{1}$ becomes uncoupled from the other two ODEs, which remain coupled. The solutions for $\hat{q}_{n}$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{q}_{1}^{\dagger}(s, \tau) & =\int_{0}^{\tau} \frac{1}{2} e^{-\Gamma\left(\tau-\tau^{\prime}\right)} e^{a\left(\tau, \tau^{\prime}\right) / s} \hat{f}_{1}^{\dagger}\left(s, \tau^{\prime}\right) d \tau^{\prime},  \tag{S7a}\\
\hat{q}_{2,3}^{\dagger}(s, \tau) & =\int_{0}^{\tau} \frac{1}{2} e^{-\Gamma\left(\tau-\tau^{\prime}\right)}\left(1+e^{a\left(\tau, \tau^{\prime}\right) / s}\right) \hat{f}_{2,3}^{\dagger}\left(s, \tau^{\prime}\right)  \tag{S7b}\\
& +\frac{1}{2} e^{-\Gamma\left(\tau-\tau^{\prime}\right)}\left(1-e^{a\left(\tau, \tau^{\prime}\right) / s}\right) \hat{f}_{3,2}^{\dagger}\left(s, \tau^{\prime}\right) d \tau^{\prime},
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
a\left(\tau, \tau^{\prime}\right)=C d^{2} \int_{\tau^{\prime}}^{\tau}\left|E_{p}\left(\tau^{\prime \prime}\right)\right|^{2} d \tau^{\prime \prime} \tag{S7c}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting these solutions into Eq. S2b yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{S}(s, \tau)=i \frac{C d}{s} E_{p}(\tau) \int_{0}^{\tau} e^{-\Gamma\left(\tau-\tau^{\prime}\right)} e^{a\left(\tau, \tau^{\prime}\right) / s}\left[\hat{\mathbf{x}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\hat{f}_{2}^{\dagger}\left(s, \tau^{\prime}\right)+\hat{f}_{3}^{\dagger}\left(s, \tau^{\prime}\right)\right)+\hat{\mathbf{y}} \hat{f}_{1}^{\dagger}\left(s, \tau^{\prime}\right)\right] d \tau^{\prime} \tag{S8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{x}}=\{1,0,0\}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{y}}=\{0,1,0\}$ denote unit vectors in the $x$ and $y$ directions respectively. Finally, the inverse Laplace transform is taken to determine the Stokes field

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{\mathbf{E}}_{S}(z, \tau)=i C d E_{p}(\tau) \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{z} H\left(z, z^{\prime}, \tau, \tau^{\prime}\right) \times  \tag{S9a}\\
& {\left[\hat{\mathbf{x}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\hat{F}_{2}^{+}\left(z^{\prime}, \tau^{\prime}\right)+\hat{F}_{3}^{+}\left(z^{\prime}, \tau^{\prime}\right)\right)+\hat{\mathbf{y}} \hat{F}_{1}^{+}\left(z^{\prime}, \tau^{\prime}\right)\right] d z^{\prime} d \tau^{\prime}}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
H\left(z, z^{\prime}, \tau, \tau^{\prime}\right)=e^{-\Gamma\left(\tau-\tau^{\prime}\right)} I_{0}\left(\sqrt{4\left(z-z^{\prime}\right) a\left(\tau, \tau^{\prime}\right)}\right) \tag{S9b}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $I_{0}$ denotes the 0 -th order modified Bessel function of the first kind [2]. Note that $\hat{\mathbf{E}}_{S}$ is perpendicular to the propagation $(z)$ direction as required.

## 2. NIST-800-90B TEST SUITE OUTPUT

Prior to processing, measured Stokes polarization orientations were mapped to integers between $0-255$. No other data pre-processing was performed before applying the test suite.

## A. Test output

Read in file 130 mW 2. bin, 98695 bytes long.
Dataset: 98695 8-bit symbols.
Output symbol values: $\min =18, \max =238$

Table S1. Test Summary

| Test | Status | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compression Test | Pass | S2 |
| Over/Under Test | Pass | S 3 |
| Excursion Test | Pass | S 4 |
| Directional Runs Test | Pass | S 5 |
| Covariance Test | Pass | S 6 |
| Collision Test | Pass | S 7 |
| iid Shuffle Tests | Pass |  |
| Chi-square Independence | Pass |  |
| Chi-square Stability | Pass |  |
| Compression Sanity Check | Pass | S 8 |
| Collision Sanity Check | Pass |  |

** Passed iid shuffle tests
Chi square independence
score $=5200.75$, degrees of freedom $=5103$, cut-off $=5420.88$
** Passed chi-square independence test
Chi square stability
score $=1815.91$, degrees of freedom $=1782$ cut-off $=1972.19$
** Passed chi-square stability test
IID = True
min-entropy $=6.67081$
Collision sanity check...
Dividing dataset into 4-tuples
Check rule 1 - do three or more 4 -tuples have the same value?...Pass
Check rule 2 - probability of number of collisions below cutoff; number of collisions $=0$, cutoff
$=2.82464$...Pass
sanity check $=$ PASS
time: $(326.563 \mathrm{sec})$

Table S2. Compression Test (Passed)

| Scores | Ranks |
| :---: | :---: |
| 10019 | 921 |
| 9994 | 788 |
| 9997 | 355 |
| 9988 | 501 |
| 9990 | 210 |
| 10005 | 321 |
| 10008 | 425 |
| 10009 | 526 |
| 9995 | 307 |
| 9999 | 517 |
|  | 0 |

Table S3. Over/under Test (Passed)

| Scores |  | Ranks |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | 4813 | 326 | 64 |
| 18 | 4907 | $964^{*}$ | 620 |
| 13 | 4894 | 501 | 535 |
| 14 | 4915 | 552 | 629 |
| 13 | 4948 | 501 | 830 |
| 12 | 4865 | 311 | 345 |
| 14 | 4828 | 530 | 102 |
| 15 | 4905 |  | 743 |
| 13 | 4924 |  | 634 |
| 14 | 4907 |  | 547 |

Table S4. Excursion Test (Passed)

| Scores | Ranks |
| :---: | :---: |
| 4824.09 | 837 |
| 5313.39 | 927 |
| 4777.79 | 848 |
| 6278.13 | $981^{*}$ |
| 3924.94 | 604 |
| 1976.11 | $22^{*}$ |
| 4036.51 | 664 |
| 3242.06 | 380 |
| 4132.48 | 670 |
| 2793.2 | 244 |
|  | 2 |

Table S5. Directional Runs Test (Passed)

| Scores |  |  | Ranks |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6558 | 7 | 4916 | 498 | 606 | 342 |
| 6532 | 6 | 4931 | 298 | 501 | 665 |
| 6515 | 6 | 4909 | 160 | 501 | 161 |
| 6596 | 6 | 4904 | 817 | 501 | 73 |
| 6600 | 7 | 4904 | 847 | 621 | 53 |
| 6549 | 7 | 4926 | 435 | 617 | 558 |
| 6573 | 6 | 4908 | 638 | 501 | 170 |
| 6548 | 6 | 4924 | 450 | 501 | 521 |
| 6572 | 6 | 4908 | 648 | 501 | 166 |
| 6535 | 6 | 4930 | 328 | 501 | 660 |
|  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table S6. Covariance Test (Passed)

| Scores | Ranks |
| :---: | :---: |
| 47.579 | $994^{*}$ |
| 8.15688 | 696 |
| 13.8632 | 783 |
| -9.3806 | 304 |
| -14.4233 | 228 |
| 13.1026 | 743 |
| 12.6675 | 775 |
| 15.029 | 780 |
| -21.3057 | 116 |
| -4.36311 | 413 |
|  | 1 |

Table S7. Collision Test (Passed)

| Scores |  |  |  | Ranks |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 15.601 | 44 | 501 | 517 | 483 |  |
| 1 | 15.8024 | 48 | 501 | 805 | 766 |  |
| 1 | 15.2772 | 45 | 501 | 147 | 501 |  |
| 1 | 15.4467 | 50 | 501 | 408 | 875 |  |
| 1 | 15.3615 | 39 | 501 | 173 | $27^{*}$ |  |
| 1 | 15.8853 | 44 | 501 | 811 | 457 |  |
| 1 | 16.0104 | 48 |  | 501 | 901 | 746 |
| 1 | 15.2525 | 41 |  | 501 | 105 | 145 |
| 1 | 15.8902 | 45 |  | 501 | 811 | 501 |
| 1 | 15.4317 | 45 |  | 501 | 373 | 501 |
|  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |

Table S8. Compression Sanity Check

| Dataset | Compressed Length | Cutoff | Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 80152 | 65834.2 | Pass |
| 2 | 79952 | 65834.2 | Pass |
| 3 | 79976 | 65834.2 | Pass |
| 4 | 79904 | 65834.2 | Pass |
| 5 | 79920 | 65834.2 | Pass |
| 6 | 80040 | 65834.2 | Pass |
| 7 | 80064 | 65834.2 | Pass |
| 8 | 80072 | 65834.2 | Pass |
| 9 | 79960 | 65834.2 | Pass |
| 10 | 79992 | 65834.2 | Pass |

1
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