

## **Optical vortices and orbital angular momentum in strongly coupled optical fibers: supplement**

**C. N. ALEXEYEV,<sup>1</sup> S. A. DEGTYAREV,<sup>2,3</sup>  B. P. LAPIN,<sup>1,\*</sup> AND M. A. YAVORSKY<sup>1</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>*V.I. Vernadsky Crimean Federal University, Vernadsky Prospekt, 4, Simferopol, 295007, Russian Federation*

<sup>2</sup>*Image Processing Systems Institute - Branch of the Federal Scientific Research Centre "Crystallography and Photonics" of Russian Academy of Sciences, Molodogvardeyskaya, 151, Samara 443001, Russian Federation*

<sup>3</sup>*Samara National Research University, Moskovskoye Shosse, 34, Samara, 443086, Russian Federation*

\*Corresponding author: [lapinboris@gmail.com](mailto:lapinboris@gmail.com)

---

This supplement published with The Optical Society on 5 April 2021 by The Authors under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License](#) in the format provided by the authors and unedited. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI.

Supplement DOI: <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.14226242>

Parent Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1364/AO.418054>

## Supplement 1

Consider first a general form of matrix elements  $e_{13}$ ,  $e_{14}$ ,  $V_{13}$  and  $V_{14}$  :

$$I = \iint_D e^{-il(\bar{\varphi} \pm \tilde{\varphi})} F_l(\bar{r}) F_l(\tilde{r}) dS, \quad (\text{S1})$$

where  $D$  is some integration area and for designation see Fig. 1. Then

$$I^* = \iint_D e^{il(\bar{\varphi} \pm \tilde{\varphi})} F_l(\bar{r}) F_l(\tilde{r}) dS. \quad (\text{S2})$$

From Fig. 1 it is evident that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{r}^2 &= y^2 + (x + L/2)^2, \tilde{r}^2 = y^2 + (x - L/2)^2, \\ \tan \bar{\varphi} &= \frac{y}{x + L/2}, \tan \tilde{\varphi} = \frac{y}{x - L/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S3})$$

From (S3) one can establish the following symmetry properties:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{r}(-y) &= \bar{r}(y), \tilde{r}(-y) = \tilde{r}(y), \\ \bar{\varphi}(-y) &= -\bar{\varphi}(y), \tilde{\varphi}(-y) = -\tilde{\varphi}(y), \\ \bar{r}(-x) &= \tilde{r}(x), \tilde{r}(-x) = \bar{r}(x), \\ \bar{\varphi}(-x) &= -\tilde{\varphi}(x), \tilde{\varphi}(-x) = -\bar{\varphi}(x). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S4})$$

Assuming here the integration over Cartesian variables upon inversion of the variable:  $y \rightarrow -y$ , one obtains:

$$I^* = \iint_D e^{-il(\bar{\varphi} \pm \tilde{\varphi})} F_l(\bar{r}) F_l(\tilde{r}) dS = I, \text{ which proves real-valuedness of}$$

$I$  along with the corresponding matrix elements. Note that here we used the fact that integration area  $D$  in our examples is always symmetric about the x-axis. For  $V_{12}$  the proof is analogous:

$$V_{12}^* = \iint_{SR} e^{2il\bar{\varphi}} F_l^2(\bar{r}) dS \xrightarrow{y \rightarrow -y} \iint_{SR} e^{-2il\bar{\varphi}} F_l^2(\bar{r}) dS = V_{12}. \quad (\text{S5})$$

Since all the matrix elements are real-valued, one can use for them instead of expressions (7) their symmetrized combinations, for example,  $V_{ik} \rightarrow (V_{ik} + V_{ik}^*)/2$ . This would result in replacement of corresponding exponentials by cosine functions, e. g.  $e^{il(\bar{\varphi} - \tilde{\varphi})} \rightarrow \cos[l(\bar{\varphi} - \tilde{\varphi})]$ .

Consider now the properties of matrix elements  $\langle i | \hat{l}_z | j \rangle$ . According to definition, one has:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 1 | \hat{l}_z | 3 \rangle &= \iint_D e^{-il\bar{\varphi}} F_l(\bar{r}) \hat{l}_z F_l(\tilde{r}) e^{il\tilde{\varphi}} dS, \\ \langle 2 | \hat{l}_z | 4 \rangle &= \iint_D e^{il\bar{\varphi}} F_l(\bar{r}) \hat{l}_z F_l(\tilde{r}) e^{-il\tilde{\varphi}} dS, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S6})$$

where  $D$  is the total cross-section. Since  $\hat{l}_z^* = -\hat{l}_z$  (do not confuse this operation with the Hermitian conjugation), then it is obvious that  $\langle 1 | \hat{l}_z | 3 \rangle = -\langle 2 | \hat{l}_z | 4 \rangle^*$ . Analogously, one can also show that  $\langle 1 | \hat{l}_z | 4 \rangle = -\langle 2 | \hat{l}_z | 3 \rangle^*$ . To establish the connection between  $\langle 1 | \hat{l}_z | 2 \rangle$  and  $\langle 3 | \hat{l}_z | 4 \rangle$  matrix elements starting from their definitions:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 1 | \hat{l}_z | 2 \rangle &= \iint_D e^{-il\bar{\varphi}} F_l(\bar{r}) \hat{l}_z F_l(\bar{r}) e^{-il\bar{\varphi}} dS, \\ \langle 3 | \hat{l}_z | 4 \rangle &= \iint_D e^{-il\tilde{\varphi}} F_l(\tilde{r}) \hat{l}_z F_l(\tilde{r}) e^{-il\tilde{\varphi}} dS, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S7})$$

one has to make in the integral for  $\langle 3 | \hat{l}_z | 4 \rangle$  the substitution  $x \rightarrow -x$ . Note that total cross-section's area  $D$  is symmetric about the y-axis and is invariant under transform  $x \rightarrow -x$ . Since  $\hat{l}_z \propto x \nabla_y - y \nabla_x$  then  $\hat{l}_z(-x) = -\hat{l}_z(x)$ , as well as  $\hat{l}_z(-y) = -\hat{l}_z(y)$ . Allowing for (A4) and  $\hat{l}_z^* = -\hat{l}_z$  this integral becomes:

$$\langle 3 | \hat{l}_z | 4 \rangle = -\iint_D e^{il\bar{\varphi}} F_l(\bar{r}) \hat{l}_z F_l(\bar{r}) e^{il\bar{\varphi}} dS = \langle 1 | \hat{l}_z | 2 \rangle^*. \quad (\text{S8})$$

Finally, it is possible to establish that all  $\langle i | \hat{l}_z | j \rangle$  elements are real-valued. Indeed, making the change of variable  $y \rightarrow -y$  in (A6) one obtains, for example:

$$\langle 1 | \hat{l}_z | 3 \rangle = -\iint_D e^{il\bar{\varphi}} F_l(\bar{r}) \hat{l}_z F_l(\tilde{r}) e^{-il\tilde{\varphi}} dS = \langle 1 | \hat{l}_z | 3 \rangle^*. \quad (\text{S9})$$

The same technique can be used for the other elements. Summarizing, the properties of  $\langle i | \hat{l}_z | j \rangle$  elements are:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 1 | \hat{l}_z | 3 \rangle &= -\langle 2 | \hat{l}_z | 4 \rangle^*, \quad \langle 1 | \hat{l}_z | 4 \rangle = -\langle 2 | \hat{l}_z | 3 \rangle^*, \\ \langle 3 | \hat{l}_z | 4 \rangle &= \langle 1 | \hat{l}_z | 2 \rangle^*, \quad \text{Im} \langle i | \hat{l}_z | j \rangle = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S10})$$

For diagonal elements  $\langle i | \hat{l}_z | i \rangle$  the procedure is analogous. For example, for element  $\langle 1 | \hat{l}_z | 1 \rangle = \iint_D e^{-il\bar{\varphi}} F_l(\bar{r}) \hat{l}_z F_l(\bar{r}) e^{il\bar{\varphi}} dS$  one has:

$$\langle 1 | \hat{l}_z | 1 \rangle^* = -\iint_D e^{il\bar{\varphi}} F_l(\bar{r}) \hat{l}_z F_l(\bar{r}) e^{-il\bar{\varphi}} dS = -\langle 2 | \hat{l}_z | 2 \rangle.$$

The proof of  $\langle 3 | \hat{l}_z | 3 \rangle^* = -\langle 4 | \hat{l}_z | 4 \rangle$  property is analogous. In addition,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 1 | \hat{l}_z | 1 \rangle &= \iint_D e^{-il\bar{\varphi}} F_l(\bar{r}) \hat{l}_z F_l(\bar{r}) e^{il\bar{\varphi}} dS = (x \rightarrow -x) \\ &= -\iint_D e^{il\bar{\varphi}} F_l(\bar{r}) \hat{l}_z F_l(\bar{r}) e^{-il\bar{\varphi}} dS = -\langle 4 | \hat{l}_z | 4 \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and, in the like manner,  $\langle 2 | \hat{l}_z | 2 \rangle = -\langle 3 | \hat{l}_z | 3 \rangle$ . All diagonal elements are real-valued. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 1 | \hat{l}_z | 1 \rangle^* &= -\iint_D e^{il\bar{\varphi}} F_l(\bar{r}) \hat{l}_z F_l(\bar{r}) e^{-il\bar{\varphi}} dS = (y \rightarrow -y) \\ &= \iint_D e^{-il\bar{\varphi}} F_l(\bar{r}) \hat{l}_z F_l(\bar{r}) e^{il\bar{\varphi}} dS = \langle 1 | \hat{l}_z | 1 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Summarizing, one obtains that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 1 | \hat{l}_z | 1 \rangle &= -\langle 2 | \hat{l}_z | 2 \rangle = \langle 3 | \hat{l}_z | 3 \rangle = -\langle 4 | \hat{l}_z | 4 \rangle; \\ \text{Im} \langle i | \hat{l}_z | i \rangle &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S11})$$

**Table S1. Reduced perturbation matrix elements  $\langle i|V_R|m\rangle/2\beta$  and differences  $\tilde{\beta}_m - \tilde{\beta}_i$  between scalar propagation constants**

$\begin{smallmatrix} m \\ i \end{smallmatrix}$	-3	-2	-1	0	1	2	3	Analytical expression
-3	17	-29	-256	1667	-1811	1382	-880	$\langle i V_R m\rangle/2\beta, m^{-1}$
	0	11822	22041	30070	22041	11822	0	$\tilde{\beta}_m - \tilde{\beta}_i, m^{-1}$
-2	-60	223	-422	607	-737	628	-425	$\langle i V_R m\rangle/2\beta, m^{-1}$
	-11822	0	10222	18251	10222	0	-11822	$\tilde{\beta}_m - \tilde{\beta}_i, m^{-1}$
-1	-99	191	-297	295	-352	299	-201	$\langle i V_R m\rangle/2\beta, m^{-1}$
	-22041	-10222	0	8029	0	-10222	-22041	$\tilde{\beta}_m - \tilde{\beta}_i, m^{-1}$
0	-93	138	-164	143	-164	138	-93	$\langle i V_R m\rangle/2\beta, m^{-1}$
	-30070	-18251	-8029	0	-8029	-18251	-30070	$\tilde{\beta}_m - \tilde{\beta}_i, m^{-1}$
1	-201	299	-352	295	-297	191	-99	$\langle i V_R m\rangle/2\beta, m^{-1}$
	-22041	-10222	0	8029	0	-10222	-22041	$\tilde{\beta}_m - \tilde{\beta}_i, m^{-1}$
2	-425	628	-737	607	-422	223	-60	$\langle i V_R m\rangle/2\beta, m^{-1}$
	-11822		10222	18251	10222	0	-11822	$\tilde{\beta}_m - \tilde{\beta}_i, m^{-1}$
3	-880	1382	-1811	1677	-256	-29	17	$\langle i V_R m\rangle/2\beta, m^{-1}$
	0	11822	22041	30070	22041	11822	0	$\tilde{\beta}_m - \tilde{\beta}_i, m^{-1}$