Supplemental Document



Coherent control of the multiple wavelength lasing of N₂⁺: coherence transfer and beyond: supplement

XIANG ZHANG,^{1,†} QI LU,^{1,†} ZHEDONG ZHANG,^{2,6} D ZHENGQUAN FAN,¹ DONGJIE ZHOU,¹ QINGQING LIANG,¹ D LUQI YUAN,³ D SONGLIN ZHUANG,¹ KONSTATIN DORFMAN,^{4,7} D AND YI LIU^{1,5,8} D

¹Engineering Research Center of Optical Instrument and System, The Ministry of Education, Shanghai Key Lab of Modern Optical System, University of Shanghai for Science and Technology, 516, Jungong Road, Shanghai 200093, China

²Department of Physics, City University of Hong Kong, Kowloon Tong, Hong Kong

³State Key Laboratory of Advanced Optical Communication Systems and Networks, School of Physics and Astronomy, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China

⁴ State Key Laboratory of Precision Spectroscopy, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200062, China ⁵ CAS Center for Excellence in Ultra-intense Laser Science, Shanghai 201800, China

⁶e-mail: zzhan26@cityu.edu.hk

⁷e-mail: dorfmank@lps.ecnu.edu.cn

⁸e-mail: yi.liu@usst.edu.cn

[†]These authors contributed equally to this paper.

This supplement published with The Optical Society on 10 May 2021 by The Authors under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License in the format provided by the authors and unedited. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI.

Supplement DOI: https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.14336714

Parent Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.1364/OPTICA.417804

optica

Coherent control of the multiple wavelength lasing of N_2^+ : coherence transfer and beyond: supplement

XIANG ZHANG,^{1,+} QI LU,^{1,+} ZHEDONG ZHANG,^{2,6} ZHENGQUAN FAN,¹ DONGJIE ZHOU,¹ QINGQING LIANG,¹ LUQI YUAN,³ SONGLIN ZHUANG,¹

KONSTATIN DORFMAN,^{4,7} YI LIU^{1,5,8}

¹Shanghai Key Lab of Modern Optical System, University of Shanghai for Science and Technology, 516, Jungong Road, 200093 Shanghai, China ²Department of Physics, City University of Hong Kong, Kowloon Tong, Hong Kong SAR

³State Key Laboratory of Advanced Optical Communication Systems and Networks, School of Physics and Astronomy, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China

⁴State Key Laboratory of Precise Spectroscopy, Eastern China Normal University, Shanghai 200062, China

⁵CAS Center for Excellence in Ultra-intense Laser Science, Shanghai, 201800, China

⁶ zzhan26@cityu.edu.hk,

⁷dorfmank@lps.ecnu.edu.cn,

⁸ yi.liu@usst.edu.cn

Abstract: This document provides supplementary information to "Coherent control of the multiple wavelength lasing of N_2^+ : coherence transfer and beyond ".

In what follows, we present more details of our theoretical model and the corresponding calculated results. The content is organized in two parts: I. Model system and II. Time-domain pump-probe signal.

I. Model system.

Our experiments showed the ultrafast dynamics of electronic excitations of nitrogen cations, where the two-color seeding pulses are interacting with the molecules as successive process following the strong pumping by an infrared pulse. We adopt the three-level description as a simplified model for the molecules of interest [1]. The molecule-field interaction then reads $V(t) = V_b(t) + V_c(t)$ and

$$V_b(t) = -\Omega(t - T_1)|e\rangle\langle b|e^{i\omega_{eb}t} - h.c., V_c(t) = -\Omega(t - T_2)|e\rangle\langle c|e^{i\omega_{ec}t} - h.c.$$
(1)

where *b*, *c* denote the two vibrational levels (v = 0, v = 1) in the ground state $X^2 \Sigma_g^+$ and *e* denotes the level v' = 0in the electronic excited state $B^2 \Sigma_u^+$. $\Omega(t - T_n)$ describes the pulse shape in time domain such that

$$\Omega(t - T_n) = \Omega_n e^{-(t - T_n)^2 / 2\sigma_n^2} e^{-i\omega_n(t - T_n)}$$
⁽²⁾

and ω_n represents the pulse central frequency and σ_n is the temporal width of the pulse. T_1 and T_2 correspond to the delays τ_{p-s1} and τ_{p-s2} varied in experiments, by assuming the infrared pump pulse arrives at t = 0. Notice that we have neglected the $b \leftrightarrow e$ transition induced by pulse 2 and $c \leftrightarrow e$ transition induced by pulse 1. This is due to the fact that the pulse bandwidth in the experiments cannot cover the vibrational splitting, namely, $2\pi\sigma_n^{-1} < \omega_{bc}$. We adopt the density matrix formalism to describe the dynamics of the molecules, and it obeys the quantum Liouvillevon Neumann equation

$$\dot{\rho} = i[\rho, V(t)] \tag{3}$$

in the interaction picture and we set $\hbar = 1$. The infrared pulse creates the populations on the three levels and the vibrational coherence ρ_{bc} , i.e., $\rho_0 = \sum_{i=b,c,e} \rho_{ii} |i\rangle \langle i| + \rho_{bc} |b\rangle \langle c| + \rho_{bc}^* |c\rangle \langle b|$ with $\sum_{i=b,c,e} \rho_{ii} = 1$. This takes the rational when noting the fact of the incoherent nature of the strong-field ionization [2, 3]. The electronic coherence in N₂⁺ therefore dies out. The solution to Eq.(3) in Schrödinger picture is given by

$$\rho(t) = \hat{G}(t)\hat{\mathcal{T}}e^{-i\int_{-\infty}^{t}\hat{V}_{-}(\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau}\rho_{0},\tag{4}$$

where the superoperators are defined as $\hat{V}_{-}(\tau) \equiv [V(\tau),*]$ and $\hat{G}(t) = e^{-(iH_{0,-}-L)t}$ is the free propagator of the molecule in the absence of pulses. $H_{0,-} \equiv [H_0,*]$ yields to the unitary part of the evolution and *L* stands for the relaxation superoperator dictated by the Redfield master equation or quantum stochastic Liouville equation, resulting in the non-unitary dynamics [4, 5].

The simplified model above is inadequate to describe the diatomic molecules in the sense of nonradiative transitions. Such transitions play a significant role in a variety of polyatomic molecules where the vibronic interaction results in the excited-state relaxation. These processes have been extensively measured in various time-resolved spectroscopic experiments, including the time-gated fluorescence and two-dimensional pump-probe spectroscopy [6-10]. We therefore include the excited-state relaxation processes, in order to model the experimental data with better accuracy. According to the recent experiment, laser pulses are inducing the vertical transitions from the ground-state vibrational levels v = 0, 1. The N₂⁺, in fact, has the electronic excited energy surface (ES) showing a small shift of the minimum from the electronic ground state energy surface, depicted in Fig. 5(a) in the main text. This indicates that the lowest electronically excited level must have a lower energy than that induced by vertical transition from the field [11-13]

$$H_{\rm M} = H_g(p,q)|g\rangle\langle g| + H_e(p,q)|e\rangle\langle e| - [\Omega(q)|e\rangle\langle g| + \Omega^*(q)|g\rangle\langle e|]$$

$$H_g(p,q) = \frac{\omega_0}{2}(p^2 + q^2), \quad H_e(p,q) = \omega_{eg}^0 + \frac{\omega_1}{2}(p^2 + (q-d)^2)$$
(5)

using Born-Oppenheimer and harmonic approximations, where *d* denotes the offset between the ground and electronic excited ESs and ω_{eg}^0 gives the energy difference between the minimums of the two ESs. *p* and *q* are the dimensionless momentum and coordinate of the nuclear vibrations, respectively. $\Omega(q) = \mu(q)E(t)$ and $\mu(q) =$

 $-e \int \psi_e^*(x,q) x \psi_g(x,q) dx$ provides the electronic transition dipole. The eigenfunctions of the molecular Hamiltonian in Eq. (5) are of the two-component form

$$\Psi_n^{(g)}(x,q) = \psi_g(x,q)\chi_n^{(g)}(q), \quad \Psi_m^{(e)}(x,q) = \psi_e(x,q)\chi_m^{(e)}(q-d)$$
(6)

where $\psi_g(x,q)$ and $\psi_e(x,q)$ are the eigenfunctions of electrons at electronic ground and the first excited states, respectively. $\chi_n^{(g)}(q)$ and $\chi_m^{(e)}(q-d)$ represent the nuclear vibrational wave functions. The transition dipole moment is quantified by the matrix elements

$$\mu_{e_m,g_n} = \int \mu(q) \,\chi_m^{(e)}(q-d) \chi_n^{(g)}(q) dq \tag{7}$$

in the basis of Franck-Condon principle. Obviously, the lowest electronically excited state has the energy $E_{v'=0} = \omega_{eg}^{0}$ associated with the wave function $\Psi_{0}^{(e)}(x,q) = \psi_{e}(x,q)\chi_{0}^{(e)}(q-d)$ when neglecting the zero-point energy $\omega_{i}/2$; i = 0,1 of the nuclear vibrations. For N₂⁺, $E_{v'=0} = 25461.4$ cm⁻¹, whereas the vertical transition resonant with 391nm pulse has the frequency $v_{00} = 25566.04$ cm⁻¹ > $E_{v'=0}$ [14]. The detuning $\delta = E_{v'=0} - v_{00} \approx -105$ cm⁻¹, which is much lower than the vibrational frequency of N₂⁺. Thus the ground-state vibrational wavefunction $\chi_{n}^{(g)}(q)$ has a substantial overlap with the excited-state vibrational wave function $\chi_{0}^{(e)}(q-d)$, leading to nonvanishing transition dipole moments $\mu_{e_{0},g_{n}}$ defined in Eq. (7). The two pulses thereby pump the system into a superposition of several vibrational levels in the electronic excited manifold, namely,

$$\Psi_e(x,q) = \psi_e(x,q) \left[C_0 \chi_0^{(e)}(q-d) + C_1 \chi_1^{(e)}(q-d) + \cdots \right]$$
(8)

with $|C_0|^2 \gg |C_i|^2$; i = 1, 2, ... Thus, the mode v' = 0 dominates while the modes $v' \ge 1$ have fairly low probability to be populated. We can then neglect the incoherent population transfer towards the minimum of the ESs, as denoted by the blue wavy arrows in Fig. 5(a) in the main text. The N₂⁺ undergoes a near-resonant Raman process associated with the three-level scheme involving an effective detuning $\Delta \approx 105 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, as illustrated in Fig. 5(b) in the main text. In the three-level model, the levels v = 0, v = 1 and v' = 0 are labelled by *b*, *c*, and *e*, respectively.

II. Time-domain pump-probe signal

The frequency-resolved emission measured in the experiment is given by $S(\omega) = 2\text{Im}[E^*(\omega)P(\omega)]$. Here $E(\omega) = E_1(\omega) + E_2(\omega)$ is the Fourier component of the positive-frequency part of the seeds where

$$E_i(\omega) = \tilde{E}_i(\omega - \omega_i)e^{i\omega T_i}$$
(9)

and $\tilde{E}_i(\omega - \omega_i) = \int E_i(t)e^{i(\omega - \omega_i)t}dt$ is the pulse envelop in frequency domain. The spectral measurement in the experiments requires the Fourier transform of emitted photons $P(\omega) = \int P(t)e^{i\omega t}dt$, where P(t) gives the far-field dipolar radiation. Quantum mechanically, the far-field dipolar radiation is the mean value of the dipole operator, namely, $P(t) = \text{Tr}[\mu\rho(t)]$, given $\mu = \mu_{eb}|e\rangle\langle b| + \mu_{ec}|e\rangle\langle c| + h. c.$. Molecular density matrix can be found by the 3rd-order perturbative expansion with respect to molecule-field coupling, which yields

$$P(t) = (-i)^3 \int_0^\infty dt_3 \int_0^\infty dt_2 \int_0^\infty dt_1 \operatorname{Tr}(\mu(t_3 + t_2 + t_1)[V(t_2 + t_1), [V(t_1), [V(0), \rho_0]]])$$
(10)

using Hilbert space description. One has to recast Eq. (10) into Liouville space in order to describe the excited-state relaxation.

428nm seed acts prior to 391nm seed

Inserting Eq. (1) into Eq. (10) and invoking the impulsive approximation $\Omega(t - T_n) \propto \delta(t - T_n)e^{-i\omega_n(t - T_n)}$ which is valid since the two-color pulses are temporally well separated, we obtain

$$P_{1}(\omega) = -\frac{2\mu_{be}}{\omega - \omega_{eb} + i\gamma} \Big[\Omega_{1} |\Omega_{2}|^{2} (\rho_{cc} - \rho_{ee}) e^{i\omega_{eb}T_{1}} + \Omega_{1}^{2} \Omega_{2}^{*} \rho_{bc} e^{i(2\omega_{eb}T_{1} - \omega_{ec}T_{2})} + |\Omega_{1}|^{2} \Omega_{2} \rho_{cb} e^{i\omega_{ec}T_{2}} \Big]$$

$$P_{2}(\omega) = -\frac{2\mu_{ce}}{\omega - \omega_{ec} + i\gamma} \Big[|\Omega_{1}|^{2} \Omega_{2} (\rho_{cc} - \rho_{ee}) e^{i\omega_{ec}T_{2}} + \Omega_{1} |\Omega_{2}|^{2} \rho_{bc} e^{i\omega_{eb}T_{1}} \Big]$$
(11)

where $T_1 > T_2$ is imposed and ρ_{cc} , ρ_{ee} and ρ_{bc} are the populations and vibrational coherence created by the infrared pulse, respectively. The full polarization reads $P(\omega) = P_1(\omega) + P_2(\omega)$. Along the line of the experiment, we essentially calculate the time-resolved transmission at the pulse central frequency ω_i given by $2\text{Im}[E_i^*(\omega_i)P_i(\omega_i)]$, and subsequently find

$$S_{1}(\omega_{1};T_{1}) \approx \frac{4|\Omega_{1}|^{2}|\Omega_{2}|}{\gamma} [|\Omega_{2}|(\rho_{ee} - \rho_{cc}) - 2|\Omega_{1}||\rho_{bc}|\cos(\Delta T_{1})\cos(\tilde{\omega}_{1}T_{1} - \omega_{2}T_{2} + \Delta T_{2} + \vartheta)]$$

$$S_{2}(\omega_{2};T_{1}) \approx \frac{2|\Omega_{1}||\Omega_{2}|^{2}}{\gamma} [|\Omega_{1}|(\rho_{ee} - \rho_{cc})\cos(\Delta T_{2}) - |\Omega_{2}||\rho_{bc}|\cos(\tilde{\omega}_{1}T_{1} - \omega_{2}T_{2} + \vartheta)]$$
(12)

by dropping the slowly-oscillating factor, where $\tilde{\omega}_1 = \omega_1 - \Delta$ and $\omega_1 - \omega_{eb} = \omega_2 - \omega_{ec} = \Delta$. The phases of pulses and coherence ρ_{bc} have been absorbed into the phase ϑ . Hence Eq. (1) in the main text is obtained. The signal given by Eq. (12) is plotted in Fig. S3, elaborating more details on the phase modulation between the oscillations of the two frequency components.

391nm seed acts prior to 428nm seed

For the scenario where the 427.8 nm pulse follows the 391.4 nm pulse, the polarization is given by

$$P_{1}(\omega) = -\frac{\mu_{be}}{\omega - \omega_{eb} + i\gamma} \Big[|\Omega_{2}|^{2} \Omega_{1}(\rho_{bb} - \rho_{ee}) e^{i\omega_{eb}T_{1}} + \Omega_{2} |\Omega_{1}|^{2} \rho_{bc} e^{i\omega_{ec}T_{2}} \Big]$$

$$P_{2}(\omega) = -\frac{2\mu_{ce}}{\omega - \omega_{ec} + i\gamma} \Big[\Omega_{2} |\Omega_{1}|^{2} (\rho_{bb} - \rho_{ee}) e^{i\omega_{ec}T_{2}} + \Omega_{2}^{2} \Omega_{1}^{*} \rho_{bc} e^{i(2\omega_{ec}T_{2} - \omega_{eb}T_{1})} + |\Omega_{2}|^{2} \Omega_{1} \rho_{cb} e^{i\omega_{eb}T_{1}} \Big]$$
(13)

where $T_1 < T_2$. Along the line of the experiment scanning T_2 , we calculate the time-resolved transmission at the pulse central frequency ω_i given by $2\text{Im}[E_i^*(\omega_i)P_i(\omega_i)]$, and subsequently find

$$S_{1}(\omega_{1};T_{2}) \approx \frac{2|\Omega_{2}||\Omega_{1}|^{2}}{\gamma} [|\Omega_{2}|(\rho_{ee} - \rho_{bb})\cos(\Delta T_{1}) - |\Omega_{1}||\rho_{bc}|\cos(\widetilde{\omega}_{2}T_{2} - \omega_{1}T_{1} + \vartheta)]$$

$$S_{2}(\omega_{2};T_{2}) \approx \frac{4|\Omega_{2}|^{2}|\Omega_{1}|}{\gamma} [|\Omega_{1}|(\rho_{ee} - \rho_{bb}) - 2|\Omega_{2}||\rho_{bc}|\cos(\Delta T_{2})\cos(\widetilde{\omega}_{2}T_{2} - \omega_{1}T_{1} - \Delta T_{1} + \vartheta)]$$
(14)

by dropping the slowly-oscillating factor, where $\tilde{\omega}_2 = \omega_2 - \Delta$. ϑ is of the same definition as in Eq. (12).

References:

- Y. Liu, P. J. Ding, G. Lambert, A. Houard, V. Tikhonchuk and A. Mysyrowicz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 133203-133207 (2015)
- ^{2.} X. M. Tong, Z. X. Zhao and C. D. Lin, Phys. Rev. A **66**, 033402-033412 (2002)
- ^{3.} Q. Zhang, *et al.*, Commun. Phys. **3**, 50-55 (2020)
- ^{4.} H.-P. Breuer and F. Petruccique, *The Theory of Open Quantum Systems* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2007)
- ^{5.} Y. Tanimura, J. Phys. Soc. Jp. **75**, 082001-082039 (2006)
- ^{6.} C. H. Kim and T. Joo, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. **11**, 10266-10269 (2009)
- ^{7.} K. Ramasesha, L. De Marco, A. Mandal and A. Tokmakoff, Nat. Chem. 5, 935-940 (2013)
- ^{8.} S. Ruhman, A. G. Joly and K. A. Nelson, J. Chem. Phys. **86**, 6563-6565 (1987)
- ^{9.} F. Kelkensberg, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 123005 (2009)
- ^{10.} G. Haran, E. A. Morlino, J. Matthes, R. H. Callender and R. M. Hochstrasser, J. Phys. Chem. A 103, 2202-2207 (1999)
- ^{11.} Y. J. Yan and S. Mukamel, Phys. Rev. A **41**, 6485-6504 (1990)
- ^{12.} Y. J. Yan, M. Sparpaglione and S. Mukamel, J. Phys. Chem. **92**, 4842-4853 (1988)
- ^{13.} S. Mukamel, *Principles of Nonlinear Optical Spectroscopy* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1995)
- ^{14.} G. Herzberg, *Molecular Spectra and Molecular Structure Vol. I* (Reitell Press, Paris, 2007)